

December 9, 2019

Mr. Adam C.T. Matthews

Co-Lead, Investor Mining & Tailings Safety Initiative Director of Ethics and Engagement, Church of England Pensions Board

Mr. John Howchin

Co-Lead, Investor Mining & Tailings Safety Initiative Secretary General, Swedish Council on Ethics for the AP Public Pension Funds

Cc by email; emily.richards@churchofengland.org

Subject: Urgent Request for Information Concerning Tailings Dam Management at Dundee Precious Metals Inc. ("DPM")

Dear Sirs:

This letter and attached table are in response to your request dated July 24, 2019 received in our office November 14, 2019. We confirm that this letter, and the table, will be made available on our website (www.dundeeprecious.com).

We concur with your assessment that tailings facilities pose significant risks and require effective risk assessment, management, assurance and communication in order to ensure safe and secure operation. Our commitment to protect the environment and the communities surrounding our operations from tailings risk is set out in our Corporate Responsibility Policy wherein we state that we "apply a rigorous holistic approach to tailings management to achieve safe, long-term disposal". Further detail on our approach and commitment to corporate responsibility, including tailings management, is set out in our <u>Sustainability Reports</u>, which, together with our <u>Corporate Responsibility Policy</u>, can be found on our website.

DPM's Approach to Tailings Management

Our approach to tailings management has not significantly changed as a result of the recent tailings failures. As international standards have evolved in recent years, we have proactively enhanced our systems, processes and procedures to meet and, in some cases, exceed them. The systems employed at DPM have been, and continue to be, robust. We have, however, considered the recent failures and our teams have evaluated the resulting findings against our particular circumstances to ensure we continue to address potential risks thoroughly.

DPM's commitment to the protection of the environment, surrounding communities, and worker health at/near our tailings management facilities is defined in our Tailings



Management Standard (the "Standard"), effective January 1, 2019. The Standard formalizes practices that we have had in place for many years and sets out specific performance requirements for the management of our tailings facilities during their full lifecycle, from planning through to closure. The Standard also clearly sets out the roles and responsibilities of personnel involved with tailings management within the organization from the CEO and the Accountable Executive Officer through to key site individuals.

The Standard details requirements for risk assessment, design and operation, annual internal reviews, inspection and assessment and closure of our tailings facilities to ensure compliance with local regulations and risk management in accordance with industry best practices. To the extent that some of the requirements in the Standard were not met when the Standard was introduced, site management was required to develop action plans to achieve compliance, and those plans are being implemented.

The design of our tailings facilities is done by a qualified professional engineer licensed in the applicable jurisdiction and incorporates good international engineering practice. Each business unit is mandated to follow the requirements set out in our Standard and to develop suitable site-specific operating plans for tailings management that conform with both local regulations and the specifications of the Mining Association of Canada tailings management framework, with the more stringent being the standard. The requirements of the Canadian Dam Association and the International Commission on Large Dams are also referenced as supporting standards. An annual review of the site operating, maintenance and surveillance manuals and local tailings management practices are mandated.

The Standard specifies responsibility for identifying, assessing and managing risks associated with each tailings facility at every phase of its lifecycle. This includes:

- development and implementation of risk management plans and actions to address all material tailings risks which are to be updated annually;
- regular internal review of all tailings management processes and procedures;
- inspection and assessment of all facilities by a qualified third-party geotechnical engineer and an audit of compliance with the Standard at specified intervals; and
- inclusion of tailings management facilities closure and rehabilitation in operations' closure plans to ensure a company's environmental and social responsibilities are met.

All significant incidents and non-conformances in the operation of the tailings facilities are investigated, addressed and recorded. Tailings management risks and the status of plans and actions are reported quarterly to the Health, Safety and Environment Committee of the Dundee Board of Directors.



Business units have incorporated emergency scenarios associated with the failure of tailings management facilities into their Emergency Preparedness and Response Plans. These plans specify the chain of command, communications and actions to be taken and have been communicated to the community at large and the local regulatory office.

An Independent Tailings Review Board (the "ITRB") is currently being organized and will be comprised of independent, third-party tailings management experts. The mandate of the ITRB will be to provide objective, expert commentary, advice and recommendations, to assist in identifying, understanding and managing tailings risks.

Communication with our stakeholders on our commitment to responsible stewardship of the environment and the well-being of the communities within which we operate, including tailings management, is a foundational component of our approach to sustainability. In particular, under the Standard, business units are to provide relevant information on tailings management to stakeholders and, where appropriate, incorporate their feedback. Our Sustainability Report sets out our approach to stakeholder engagement on these matters.

Certification

The information provided within this disclosure is true to the best of our knowledge, based on our governance, technical and review systems. Attached to this letter is the reporting table in the format you supplied.

I would like to thank you for this initiative and am happy to report on DPM's positive performance in this regard. We have been some years in developing a robust system for tailings management and are proud of what we have achieved.

For future correspondence please contact Dr. Nikolay Hristov, Vice-President Sustainability and External Relations.

Yours sincerely,

Dundee Precious Metals Inc.

(signed) "Rick Howes"

Rick Howes, P.Eng.
President and Chief Executive Officer

Encl: Dundee Precious Metals Inc. Tailings Dam Management Disclosure Table

Mine Tailings Disclosure Table **Dundee Precious Metals**

Overview question: Please
3 Provide an overview of your tallings management system, and how you manage risk
5) Confirm whether your approach to tallings management has changed or will change in light of the recent tallings
disasters at turnadnion, Marinan, Mreliey and others, they ou, for example, reviewed all tailings storage facilities
with upstream dam construction, and taken steps necessary to protect local communities and the environment e.g.
buttersling execution?

Overview answer)
a) See Letter
b) We have reviewed our tailings management system and have found them to be sufficiently robust. Our work has been ongoing for many years and has not been simply a response to the recent issues. See our letter for additional details.

| Tailings Dam" Name/identifier Dame | 2. Location | 3. Ownership | 4. Status Please specify. Active, | 5. Date of initial operation | 6. Is the Dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design? Yes/No. If 'No', more | 7. Raising method Note: Upstream, | Maximum Height | 9. Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume | 10. Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume in 5 years time. (m3 as planned for | Independent Expert Review | 12. Do you have full and complete relevant engineering records including design, construction, operation, maintenance and/or closure. (Yes or No) We take the word | 13. What is your hazard categorisation of this facility, based on consequence of failure? | 14. What guideline do you follow for the classification system? | 15. Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as stable, or experienced notable stability correns, as identified by an independent engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or a different firm). (Ves or No) We note that this will depend on factors.) | house engineering specialist oversight of this facility? Or do you have external engineering support for this purpose? | communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in | in place for this dam, and b) does it include long term | assess your tailings facilities against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a | 20. Any other relevant information and supporting documentation. Please state if you have omitted any other exposure to slilings facilities through any joint ventures you may have. Note: this may include links to annual report |
|--|---|---|--|------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Please identify every tailings storage facility an identify if there are multiple dams (saddler or storage to the transition of transition of the transition of the transition of transi | Press provide Long/Lat coordinates | Please peckly Owned and Operated. Subsidiary, JV, NOIV, as of March 2019 | Please spectry. Actor. Inactive/Care and Maintenance, Closed etc. We take closed to mean: a closure plan was developed and approved by the relevant coal government agency, and key stakeholders were involved in its development; a context approved to sour a plan context approved to sour application context approved to sour application context approved to sour application context application and context approved context application and context application context approved to sour application context application and context application context appl | (cate) | Yes/No. If No, note information can be provided in the answer to 020 | Note: upstream, Centerline, Modified Centreline, Downstream, Landform, Other. | Note: Please disclose in metres | Note: (m a s of March 2019) | | question we take 'Independent' to mean a suitably qualified individual or team, external to the Operation, that does not direct the design | ries or no, we cake the word "relevant" her to mean that you have all necessary documents to anker and informed and substantiated decision on the safery of the dam, bet it an old facility, or an exquisition, or legacy site. More information can be provided in your answer to Q20 | | | (res or no) we note that the wall depend on Tactor including local legislation that are not necessarily tied to best practice. As such, and because remedation may have been talkets, a "Ves" answer may not indicate heightened risk. Stability concerns might include toe seepage, dam movement, overtopping, spillway failure, piping etc. I'yes, have approprietely designed on the control of the contr | | Note: Please answer yes or Ino; and if yes; provide a date. | Prease answer both parts of this question (e.g. Yes and Yes | (ves or wo) | note: this may include links to annual report disclosures, furth information in the public domain, guidelines or reports etc. |
| Chelopech Tailing: Management Facility, Bulgaria | 5 42°40'06.5"N 24°04'55.6"E (Google Maps) | Owned and Operated - Dundee Precious Metals Chelopech | Active | 1974 | Yes | Upstream | 80 meters | 25 million | 39 million | Annual Q4 2018, Quarterly Q3 2019 | Yes | Very High | CDA | No* | Both | Yes, 2010 | Yes and Yes | Yes | Q15 - Facility was equipped with a buttress, proje completed during 2010. Second buttress is currently being designed. |
| Ada Tepe Integrated Mine Waste Facility, Bulgaria | 41°25'47.0"N 25°39'36.7"E (Google Maps) | Owned and Operated - Dundee Precious Metals Krumovgrad | Active | 2019 | Yes | Upstream* | 30 meters | 0.8 million, half mine waste half tailings | f 9.6 million, 6,9 million of which waste rock and 2,7 million tailings | Forthcoming in 2020* | Yes | Very High | CDA | No | Both | Yes, 2016 | Yes and Yes | Yes | Q8 - This is not a conventional tailings dam. It is ar integrated facility storing both mine wastes and tailings from the Process Plant; It is a free draining facility which is not collecting water and doesn't have a pond. Q11 - New facility with less than a year of |
| Tsumeb Tailings Management Facility, Namibia* | 19*13*47.9"S 17*42*59.8"E (Google Maps) | Owned and Operated - Dundee Precious Metals Tsumeb | Active | 1965 | Yes | Upstream | 18 meters | 7.3 million | 13.2 million | Q3 2017 | No, this is a legacy site that is being investigated but sufficient information is available to operate it safely* | High | CDA | Yes* | Both | Yes, 2019 | Yes and Yes | Yes | O1 - The facility was used to store process waste from the "Sumeh miring and processin operations." The mine is now closed and the facility accommodates the waste from the smelter's slag processing plant. O12 - Test work planned to fill in information gaps for the update of the design documentation. O15 - Wall failure during 1985, 1989. |
| Tsumeb Tailings Management Facility, Namibia | 19°13'52.8"S 17°44'30.0"E (Google Maps) | Owned and Operated - Dundee Precious Metals Tsumeb | Inactive, Care and Maintenance* | 2006 | Yes | Downstream | 10 meters | 0.7 million | 0.7 million | Not available, inactive facility, no stored water* | No, legacy site and inactive but being investigated | Low | CDA | No | Both | No | Yes and Yes | Yes | Q4 - Facility in care and maintenance from 2010 Q11 - Independent expert review being considered |